



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/563,846	01/05/2006	Thomas Falck	DE 030235	5404

24737	7590	01/23/2008
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS		
P.O. BOX 3001		
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510		

EXAMINER	
HUANG, WEN WU	

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2618	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
01/23/2008	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

10/563,846

Applicant(s)

FALCK ET AL.

Examiner

Wen W. Huang

Art Unit

2618

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11/2/07.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 11-16 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 11-16 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                                | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                       | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application                       |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

## DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-10 and 17-23 are canceled.

Claims 11-16 are pending.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

1. Claims 11 and 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kammer (US. Pub No. 2003/0087602 A1) in view of Slobodin et al. (US. Pub No. 2002/0196378 A1; hereinafter "Slobodin")

Regarding **claim 11**, Kammer teaches a system for sharing information (see Kammer, fig. 4, para. [0004]), comprising:

an internal communication network (see Kammer, para. [0028], line 11, local area network LAN) adapted for access by an internal wireless device (see Kammer, fig. 4, LAN port wireless device 210) and a visitor wireless device (see Kammer, fig. 4, wireless device 100); and

a mobile data carrier (see Kammer, fig. 3, expansion card 124, para. [0027], lines 14-17) operative to load software to the visitor wireless device (see Kammer, para.

[0027], lines 1-6) and to set the visitor wireless device to an ad-hoc mode (see Kammer, para. [0026]).

Kammer is silent to teaching a system for giving a presentation comprising an image-showing device comprising a wireless communication interface, wherein the internal wireless device is adapted to access the image-showing device via the internal network. However, the claimed limitation is well known in the art as evidenced by Slobodin.

In the same field of endeavor, Slobodin teaches a system for giving a presentation (see Slobodin, abstract), comprising

an image-showing device (see Slobodin, fig. 1, projector 130) comprising a wireless communication interface (see Slobodin, fig. 11, wireless network interface 455, para. [0057]), wherein the internal wireless device (see Slobodin, fig. 1, laptop 110, para. [0034]) is adapted to access the image-showing device via the internal network (see Slobodin, fig. 1, wireless link 120, local area network LAN, para. [0035]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teaching of Kammer with the teaching of Slobodin in order to provide an improved method for sharing information and giving a presentation (see Slobodin, para. [0011]).

Regarding **claim 13**, the combination of Kammer and Slobodin also teaches a system as claimed in claim 11, wherein the mobile data carrier is one of: an insertable

card, or a radio card, or a read-only memory (ROM) device (see Kammer, fig. 3, expansion card 124, para. [0027], lines 14-17).

Regarding **claim 14**, the combination of Kammer and Slobodin also teaches a system as claimed in claim 13, wherein a connection between the visitor wireless device and the image-showing device is not through the base station (see Slobodin, fig. 1, wireless link 120, para. [0035] and [0040]).

Regarding **claim 15**, the combination of Kammer and Slobodin also teaches a system as claimed in claim 11, wherein the wireless devices are adapted to show a presentation controlled on the image-showing device (see Slobodin, para. [0034] and [0035]).

Regarding **claim 16**, the combination of Kammer and Slobodin also teaches a system as claimed in claim 1, wherein that the image-showing device is a projector (see Slobodin, fig. 1, projector 130).

2. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kammer and Slobodin as applied to claim 11 above, and further in view of Okanoué (US. 6,307,843 B1).

Regarding **claim 12**, the combination of Kammer and Slobodin also teaches a system as claimed in claim 11.

The combination of Kammer and Slobodin is silent to teaching that wherein the internal wireless device is adapted to connect to a base station of the internal communication network, and the visitor wireless device is not adapted to connect to the base station. However, the claimed limitation is well known in the art as evidenced by Okanoue.

In a related art, Okanoue teaches an ad-hoc network (see Okanoue, fig. 1) wherein the internal wireless device (see Okanoue, fig. 1, wireless device 11) is adapted to connect (see Okanoue, col. 6, lines 15-24) to a base station (see Okanoue, fig. 1, base station 24) of the internal communication network (see Okanoue, fig. 1, LAN 20, col. 5, lines 8-15), and the visitor wireless device (see Okanoue, fig. 1, wireless device 12) is not adapted to connect to the base station (see Okanoue, col. 6, lines 32-35).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teaching of Kammer and Slobodin with the teaching of Okanoue in order to form an efficient ad-hoc network (see Okanoue, col. 1, lines 24-31).

### ***Response to Arguments***

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 11 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

***Conclusion***

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Wen W. Huang whose telephone number is (571) 272-7852. The examiner can normally be reached on 10am - 6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew D. Anderson can be reached on (571) 272-4177. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.


Application/Control Number:  
10/563,846  
Art Unit: 2618

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

wwh

Wm  
1/17/08

  
MATTHEW ANDERSON  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER